

Robert Virding

Principle Language Expert
at Erlang Solutions Ltd.

Luerl - an implementation of Lua on the Erlang VM

Overview

- Why Erlang
 - The problem
 - The problem domain
 - A bit of philosophy
 - Properties of Erlang
- The Luerl goal
- The result
- The implementation
- The demo
- The comparison

The problem

- Ericsson's “best seller” AXE telephone exchanges (switches) required large effort to develop and maintain software.
- The problem to solve was how to make programming these types of applications easier, but keeping the same characteristics.



Problem domain

- Lightweight, massive concurrency
- Fault-tolerance must be provided
- Timing constraints
- Continuous operation for a long time
- Continuous maintenance/evolution of the system
- Distributed systems

Some reflections

We were NOT trying to implement a functional language

We were NOT trying to implement the actor model

**WE WERE TRYING TO SOLVE
THE PROBLEM!**

Some reflections

- This made the development of the language/system very focused
- We had a clear set of criteria for what should go into the language/system
 - Was it useful?
 - Did it or did it not help build systems?

**The language/system
evolved to solve the problem**

Properties of the Erlang system

- Lightweight, massive concurrency
- Asynchronous communication
- Process isolation
- Error handling
- Continuous evolution of the system
- Soft real-time
- Support for introspection and monitoring

These we seldom have to directly worry about in a language, except for receiving messages

Properties of the Erlang system

- Immutable data
- Pattern matching
- Functional language
- Predefined set of data types
- Modules
- No global data

These are what we mainly “see” directly in our languages

The Luerl goal

- A proper implementation of the Lua language
 - It should look and behave the same as Lua
 - It should include the standard libraries
- Should interface well with Erlang

The result

- Implements all of Lua 5.2
 - except goto, _ENV and coroutines
- Seems to manage all tests which don't use debug
- Interacts well with Erlang
 - Easy for Erlang to call Lua and Lua to call Erlang
 - Compatible with Erlang concurrency and error handling
- Lua's code handling does not conform to Erlang's
 - You need to be careful when reloading Lua modules which may reload Erlang modules

The result: Libraries

- Implemented
 - Basic Functions
 - Modules (not C-code)
 - String Manipulation
 - Table Manipulation
 - Mathematical functions
 - Bitwise Operations
 - Input and Output Facilities (very few functions)
 - Operating System Facilities (not all functions)
- Not implemented
 - The Debug library (too implementation dependant)

The result: Erlang program interface

- Extensive set of functions to call Lua from Erlang
 - Extendable when required
- Straight-forward to call Erlang from Lua
 - No C-interface

The implementation: Lua syntax

- Lua grammar simple, almost LALR(1)
- Can use existing standard Erlang parse-tools
 - Leex for generating tokeniser
 - Yecc for generating parser
 - One reduce-reduce conflict which was easy to handle

The implementation: VM and compiler

- A relatively straight-forward VM
 - Similar, but not the same, as the standard one
- Compiler optimises the environment handling
 - Separates purely local environment of blocks/functions from global environment
- A lot of “unnecessary” information compiled away
 - Error messages very “basic” 😞

The implementation: datatypes

Lua

Erlang

nil

atom nil

booleans

atoms true/false

numbers

floats

strings

binaries

tables

array+dict

The implementation: Lua state

- Main difficulty of the implementation
 - Need to implement mutable global data with immutable local data
- We keep all Lua state in one data structure explicitly threaded through everything

The implementation: Lua state

- One big data structure
 - global table store
 - global frame store
 - environment frames
 - tables
 - current stack
- We need to implement our own garbage collector on top of Erlang's collector for Lua state

The implementation: Lua global data

```
-record(luerl, {ttab, tfree, tnext,      %Table table, free, next
                ftab, ffree, fnext,      %Frame table, free, next
                g,                      %Global table
                stk=[],                 %Current stack
                meta=[],                %Data type metatables
                tag                     %Unique tag
              }).  
  
-record(meta, {nil=nil,
                  boolean=nil,
                  number=nil,
                  string=nil}).  
  
-record(tref, {i}).                  %Table reference, index  
-record(table, {a, t=[], m=nil}).     %Table type, array, tab, meta  
-record(fref, {i}).                  %Frame reference, index
```

The implementation: Lua table store

```
get_table_key(#tref{}=Tref, Key, St) when is_number(Key) ->
    case ?IS_INTEGER(Key, I) of
        true when I >= 1 -> get_table_int_key(Tref, Key, I, St);
        _NegFalse -> get_table_key_key(Tref, Key, St)
    end;
get_table_key(#tref{}=Tref, Key, St) ->
    get_table_key_key(Tref, Key, St);
get_table_key(Tab, Key, St) -> %Just find the metamethod
    case getmetamethod(Tab, <<"__index">>, St) of
        nil -> lua_error({illegal_index,Tab,Key});
        Meth when element(1, Meth) =:= function ->
            {Vs,St1} = functioncall(Meth, [Tab,Key], St),
            {first_value(Vs),St1}; %Only one value
        Meth -> %Recurse down the metatable
            get_table_key(Meth, Key, St)
    end.
```

The implementation: Lua table store

```
get_table_key_key(#tref{i=N}=T, Key, #luerl{tabs=Ts}=St) ->
    #table{t=Tab,m=Meta} = ?GET_TABLE(N, Ts),    %Get the table.
    case ttdict:find(Key, Tab) of
        {ok,Val} -> {Val,St};
        error ->
            %% Key not present so try metamethod
            get_table_metamethod(T, Meta, Key, Ts, St)
    end.

get_table_int_key(#tref{i=N}=T, Key, I, #luerl{tabs=Ts}=St) ->
    #table{a=A,m=Meta} = ?GET_TABLE(N, Ts),    %Get the table.
    case array:get(I, A) of
        nil ->
            %% Key not present so try metamethod
            get_table_metamethod(T, Meta, Key, Ts, St);
        Val -> {Val,St}
    end.
```

The implementation: Lua table store

```
get_table_metamethod(T, Meta, Key, Ts, St) ->
    case getmetatable(T, <<"__index">>, Ts) of
        nil -> {nil,St};
        Meth when element(1, Meth) =:= function ->
            {Vs,St1} = functioncall(Meth, [T,Key], St),
            {first_value(Vs),St1};           %Only one value
        Meth ->                      %Recurse down the metatable
            get_table_key(Meth, Key, St)
    end.
```

The implementation: Lua table store

```
set_table_key_key(#tref{i=N}, Key, Val, #luerl{tabs=Ts0}=St) ->
    #table{t=Tab0,m=Meta}=T = ?GET_TABLE(N, Ts0),           %Get the table
    case ttdict:find(Key, Tab0) of
        {ok,_} ->                                         %Key exists
            Tab1 =  if Val == nil -> ttdict:erase(Key, Tab0);
                      true -> ttdict:store(Key, Val, Tab0)
            end,
        Ts1 = ?SET_TABLE(N, T#table{t=Tab1}, Ts0),
        St#luerl{tabs=Ts1};
```

The implementation: Lua table store

```
error ->
  case getmetamethod_tab(Meta, <<"__newindex">>, Ts0) of
    nil ->
      %% Only add non-nil value.
      Tab1 = if Val =:= nil -> Tab0;
               true -> ttdict:store(Key, Val, Tab0)
      end,
      Ts1 = ?SET_TABLE(N, T#table{t=Tab1}, Ts0),
      St#luerl{tabs=Ts1};
    Meth when element(1, Meth) =:= function ->
      functioncall(Meth, [Key,Val], St);
    Meth -> set_table_key(Meth, Key, Val, St)
  end
end.
```

The demo

- Concurrent space ships
 - Logic in Lua
 - Each ship an Erlang process
 - Communicate using Erlang messages

The demo: code

- The default tick move
- The bounce
- The attack tick move
- The zap
- The left/right sectors

The demo: code

```
local function move(x, y, dx, dy)
    local nx,ny,ndx,ndy = move_xy_bounce(x, y, dx, dy,
                                           universe.valid_x, universe.valid_y)
    -- Where we were and where we are now.
    local osx,osy = universe.sector(x, y)
    local nsx,nsy = universe.sector(nx, ny)
    if (osx ~= nsx or osy ~= nsy) then
        -- In new sector, move us to the right sector
        universe.rem_sector(x, y)
        universe.add_sector(nx, ny)
        -- and draw us
        esdl_server.set_ship(type, colour, nx, ny)
    end
    return nx,ny,ndx,ndy
end
```

The demo: code

```
local function move_xy_bounce(x, y, dx, dy, valid_x, valid_y)
    local nx = x + dx
    local ny = y + dy

    if (not valid_x(nx)) then      -- Bounce off the edge
        nx = x - dx
        dx = -dx
    end
    if (not valid_y(ny)) then      -- Bounce off the edge
        ny = y - dy
        dy = -dy
    end
    return nx, ny, dx, dy
end
```

The demo: code

```
local function move(x, y, dx, dy)
    local nx,ny,ndx,ndy = move_xy_bounce(x, y, dx, dy,
                                           universe.valid_x, universe.valid_y)
    -- Where we were and where we are now.
    local osx,osy = universe.sector(x, y)
    local nsx,nsy = universe.sector(nx, ny)
    if (osx ~= nsx or osy ~= nsy) then
        -- Zap a nearby ships, only zap when we move
        zap_ships(osx, osy, nsx, nsy)
        -- In new sector, move us to the right sector
        universe.rem_sector(x, y)
        universe.add_sector(nx, ny)
        -- and draw us
        esdl_server.set_ship(style, colour, nx, ny)
    end
    return nx,ny,ndx,ndy
end
```

The demo: code

```
local function zap_ships(osx, osy, nsx, nsy)
    local lsx,lsy,rsx,rsy = move_lr_sectors(osx, osy, nsx, nsy)
    local f = universe.get_sector(nsx, nsy)
    if (f and f ~= me) then -- Always zap ship in front
        ship.zap(f)
    end
    f = universe.get_sector(lsx, lsy) or
        universe.get_sector(rsx, rsy)
    if (f and f ~= me) then -- Zap ship either left or right
        ship.zap(f)
    end
end
```

The demo: code

```
local function move_lr_sectors(osx, osy, nsx, nsy)
    local idx,idy = nsx-osx,nsy-osy
    local lsx,lsy,rsx,rsy          -- Left, right of next sectors
    if (idx == 0) then
        lsx,lsy = nsx - idy,nsy
        rsx,rsy = nsx + idy,nsy
    elseif (idy == 0) then
        lsx,lsy = nsx,nsy - idx
        rsx,rsy = nsx,nsy + idx
    elseif (idx == idy) then
        lsx,lsy = nsx - idx, nsy
        rsx,rsy = nsx, nsy - idy
    else                                -- idx ~= idy
        lsx,lsy = nsx,nsy - idx
        rsx,rsy = nsx - idx,nsy
    end
    return lsx,lsy,rsx,rsy
end
```

Alternatives

- External Lua system
 - Through Erlang “ports” to other OS processes
- Include Lua engine inside Erlang
 - Using Erlang NIFs to call Lua engine

Which one?: Lua in Erlang

- + Complete access to Erlang/VM properties
- + Easier use of Erlang concurrency
- + Faster interface
- + Only need one system

- Slower
- Data sharing difficult

Which one?: external Lua system

- + Faster Lua
- + Probably able to run more code
- Generally slower interface
- More difficult to use Erlang concurrency
- More difficult to get parallelism

Thank you

Robert Virding: rvirding@gmail.com

[@rvirding](https://twitter.com/rvirding)